UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/554,006	08/02/2006	Shuichiro Inoue	Y-229 (NUBIC10504)	4685
⁸⁰² PATENTTM.U	7590 04/13/200 S	EXAMINER		
P. O. BOX 8278 PORTLAND, O	88	STAFFORD, PATRICK		
PORTLAND, C	JK 97262-0766		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Particle STAFFORD Particle STAFFORD			Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
PATRICK STAFFORD 2828 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherware time may be available under the provides of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, may a reply be timely filled 1 ft NO period for reply a specifical above, the maximum slabitory period will apply and will exply the XIV (A) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. Failure for provide specifical above, the maximum slab tory period will apply and will exply and will exply the XIV (A) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication. Failure for provide the reply a specifical above, the maximum slab tory period will apply and will exply and will reply the this date of the communication. Failure for provide specifical above, the maximum slab tory period of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed placent are adjustment. See 3 CFR 7.0(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 31 March 2009 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex perte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) An objected to see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	Office Action Occurrence		10/554,006		INOUE, SHUICHIRO			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s). In ro event, because, making the language of the many as a statistic point of reply as specified under the provisions of 37 CFR 113(s). In or event, because, making the language of the statistic point of the communication. If No period for reply a specified under the provision of 37 CFR 1704(b). Any reply received by the Office later than free months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1704(b). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 31 March 2009. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected to making a set of the control of the of the provision of the control o		Oπice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a nighy be timely filed. - Extensions of time many be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a nighy be timely filed. - If NO period for reply is senderal above, the maximum allations provide using stage and a night of the reply with justice. - Fallow to reply within the set or extended parties of the reply will by sharible, cause the application to become ABANCONED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply reached the time of the time mailing date of this communication. - Fallow to reply within the set or extended parties after the mailing date of this communication. - Fallow to reply within the set of the set of the set of the communication. - Fallow to reply within the set of the set o			PATRICK ST	AFFORD	2828			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciano drium enay be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.316a). In ore event, however, may a reply be limited yield after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO percol for reply is specified above, the manum statutory percol vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO percol for reply is expired above, the manum statutory percol vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO percol for reply will be a control of the provision of the mailing date of this communication. If NO percol is a control is provided by the control of the mailing date of this communication, even if threely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)			appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 March 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3:	1 March 2009					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	· —			-final				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	′=	_						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	٥/١							
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				70, 1000 0.21 11, 10	3 3.3.2.3.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some column None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Applicati	on Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) MI b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		Applicant may not request that any objection to t	the drawing(s) be	neld in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4 5 6	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 31 March 2009 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-3 amended 31 March 2009.

Claims 4-15 added 31 March 2009.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 31 March 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Takeuchi '824 and McCaughan '791 do not teach a long gating period, Takeuchi '824 teaches a single-photon generation device comprising a laser-light source (col. 7, lines 35-37 and Fig. 6, part 9), a quasi-phase-matching wave-guide (col. 7, lines 35-39 and Fig. 6, part 39) that converts one photon from said laser-light source into two photons with a common wavelength (col. 7, lines 61-65), a beam splitter that separates the two photons (col. 7, lines 47-51 and Fig. 6, part 41), a single-photon detector that detects one of the separated photons (col. 7, lines 49-51 and Fig. 6, part 2), and an optical switch that puts the other of the

separated photons in and is controlled with the detection signal of said single-photon detector (col. 5, lines 36-40 and Fig. 6, part 4), wherein the single photon detector comprises a gate device (col. 3, lines 51-52 and Fig. 6, part 4) and a gate device controller (col. 3, lines 51-54 and Fig. 6, part 8) and the gate period being a long gate period (col. 4, lines 43-51).

In response to applicant's argument that Takeuchi '824 and McCaughan '791 do not teach the laser is a CW laser, Takeuchi '824 teaches the laser is a CW laser (col. 6, lines 11-12).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9, 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi (U.S. Patent 7,088,824, hereafter '824) in view of McCaughan et al (U.S. Patent 6,545,791, hereafter '791).

Claim 1: '824 teaches a single-photon generation device comprising a laser-light source (col. 7, lines 35-37 and Fig. 6, part 9), a quasi-phase-matching wave-guide (col. 7, lines 35-39 and Fig. 6, part 39) that converts one photon from said laser-light source into two photons with a common wavelength (col. 7, lines 61-65), a beam splitter that separates the two photons (col. 7, lines 47-51 and Fig. 6, part 41), a single-photon detector that detects one of the separated photons (col. 7, lines 49-51 and Fig. 6, part 2), and an optical switch that puts the other of the separated photons in and is controlled with the detection signal of said single-photon detector (col. 5, lines 36-40

Application/Control Number: 10/554,006

and Fig. 6, part 4), wherein the single photon detector comprises a gate device (col. 3, lines 51-52 and Fig. 6, part 4) and a gate device controller (col. 3, lines 51-54 and Fig. 6, part 8) and the gate period being a long gate period (col. 4, lines 43-51).

'824 does not explicitly teach the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide being a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃. However, '791 teaches the use of a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ (col. 11, lines 64-67) in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ as the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields.

Claim 3: '824 teaches a single-photon generation device comprising a laser-light source (col. 7, lines 35-37 and Fig. 6, part 9), a quasi-phase-matching wave-guide (col. 7, lines 35-39 and Fig. 6, part 39) that converts one photon from said laser-light source into two photons (col. 7, lines 61-65) and put them out to different directions (col. 7, lines 42-44 and Fig. 6, part 41), a single-photon detector that detects one of the separated photons (col. 7, lines 49-51 and Fig. 6, part 2), and an optical switch that puts the other of the separated photons in and is controlled with the detection signal of said single-photon detector (col. 5, lines 36-40 and Fig. 6, part 4), wherein the single photon detector comprises a gate device (col. 3, lines 51-52 and Fig. 6, part 4) and a gate device controller (col. 3, lines 51-54 and Fig. 6, part 8) and the gate period being a long gate period (col. 4, lines 43-51).

'824 does not explicitly teach the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide being a bulk type wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃. However, '791 teaches the use of a bulk type

(col. 11, lines 14-15) wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ (col. 11, lines 64-67) in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use a bulk type wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ as the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields.

Claims 4, 6-7: '824 and '791 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 3. They do not explicitly teach the long gate period comprises between 20 ns and 50 ns. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the long gate period be between 20 ns and 50 ns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPO 233.

Claim 5: '824 and '791 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 3. '824 teaches the laser is a CW laser (col. 6, lines 11-12).

Claims 8, 12-13: 824 and '791 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 1. They do not explicitly teach the long gate period comprises between 20 ns and 50 ns. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the long gate period be between 20 ns and 50 ns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Claim 9: '824 and '791 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 1. '824 teaches the laser is a CW laser (col. 6, lines 11-12).

Claims 2, 10-11, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeuchi (U.S. Patent 7,088,824, hereafter '824) in view of McCaughan et al (U.S. Patent 6,545,791, hereafter '791) and further in view of Baer (U.S. Patent 5,866,911, hereafter '911).

Claim 2: '824 teaches a single-photon generation device comprising a laser-light source (col. 7, lines 35-37 and Fig. 6, part 9), a non-degenerate quasi-phase-matching wave-guide (col. 7, lines 35-39 and Fig. 6, part 39) that converts one photon from said laser-light source into two photons (col. 7, lines 61-65) with different wavelengths (col. 9, lines 58-60), a beam splitter that separates the two photons with the different wavelengths (col. 7, lines 47-51 and Fig. 6, part 41), a single-photon detector that detects one of the separated photons (col. 7, lines 49-51 and Fig. 6, part 2), and an optical switch that puts the other of the separated photons in and is controlled with the detection signal of said single-photon detector (col. 5, lines 36-40 and Fig. 6, part 4), wherein the single photon detector comprises a gate device (col. 3, lines 51-52 and Fig. 6, part 4) and a gate device controller (col. 3, lines 51-54 and Fig. 6, part 8) and the gate period being a long gate period (col. 4, lines 43-51).

'824 does not explicitly teach the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide being a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃. However, '791 teaches a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ (col. 11, lines 64-67) in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use a wave-guide-type quasi-phase-matching LiNb0₃ as the quasi-phase-matching wave-guide in order to provide better overlap between the optical and microwave fields.

'824 and '791 do not explicitly teach the beam splitter being a dichroic mirror. However, '911 teaches the use of dichroic mirror beam splitters (col. 3, lines 50-54) in order to provide better beam quality and sharpening intensity. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made use a dichroic mirror for the beam splitter in a single photon generation device in order to provide better beam quality and sharpening intensity.

Claims 10, 14-15: '824, '791 and '911 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 2. They do not explicitly teach the long gate period comprises between 20 ns and 50 ns. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the long gate period be between 20 ns and 50 ns, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. Claim 11: '824, '791 and '911 teach the single-photon generation device according to claim 2. '824 teaches the laser is a CW laser (col. 6, lines 11-12).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PATRICK STAFFORD whose telephone number is (571)270-1275. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MinSun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,006 Page 8

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/P. S./

Examiner, Art Unit 2828

/Minsun Harvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828